



Descartes' *Meditations* an introduction

Catherine Wilson

CAMBRIDGE

more information - www.cambridge.org/9780521809818

This page intentionally left blank

DESCARTES'S *MEDITATIONS*

In this new introduction to a classic philosophical text, Catherine Wilson examines the arguments of Descartes's famous *Meditations*, the book which launched modern philosophy. Drawing on the reinterpretations of Descartes's thought of the past twenty-five years, she shows how Descartes constructs a theory of the mind, the body, nature, and God from a premise of radical uncertainty. She discusses in detail the historical context of Descartes's writings, and their relationship to early modern science, and at the same time she introduces concepts and problems that define the philosophical enterprise as it is understood today. Following closely the text of the *Meditations* and meant to be read alongside them, this survey is accessible to readers with no previous background in philosophy. It is well suited to university-level courses on Descartes, but can also be read with profit by students in other disciplines.

CATHERINE WILSON is Professor of Philosophy at the University of British Columbia, Vancouver. She is the author of *Leibniz's Metaphysics: A Historical and Comparative Study* (1989) and *The Invisible World: Early Modern Philosophy and the Invention of the Microscope, 1620–1720* (1995).

CAMBRIDGE INTRODUCTIONS TO KEY
PHILOSOPHICAL TEXTS

This new series offers introductory textbooks on what are considered to be the most important texts of Western philosophy. Each book guides the reader through the main themes and arguments of the work in question, while also paying attention to its historical context and its philosophical legacy. No philosophical background knowledge is assumed, and the books will be well suited to introductory university-level courses.

Titles published in the series:

DESCARTES'S *MEDITATIONS* by Catherine Wilson

DESCARTES'S
MEDITATIONS

An Introduction

CATHERINE WILSON

University of British Columbia



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521809818

© Catherine Wilson 2003

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provision of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published in print format 2003

ISBN-13 978-0-511-07808-8 eBook (NetLibrary)

ISBN-10 0-511-07808-0 eBook (NetLibrary)

ISBN-13 978-0-521-80981-8 hardback

ISBN-10 0-521-80981-9 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-00766-5 paperback

ISBN-10 0-521-00766-6 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

For my children, Eva and David

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i>	<i>xi</i>
Introduction: About the <i>Meditations</i>	I	
1 The situation of the Meditator is described and his desire to demolish everything and begin again is explored, while the Reader is introduced to some basic philosophical concepts	10	
1 The Meditator – The building metaphor – “Knowledge conditions” – Scientific and unscientific mentalities (AT VII:17–18)	10	
2 The aims of an ideal scientist – Sorting-procedures – Belief-sets – Skepticism and credulity – Conformity and authority (AT VII:18)	21	
2 Meditation One The possibility of a malevolent Demon is raised and the Meditator resolves to doubt everything he can possibly doubt	32	
1 The Withholding Policy – Can the senses be trusted? – The dream argument – The malevolent Demon argument (AT VII:18–23)	32	
2 Objections to Meditation One	45	
3 Meditation Two The Meditator discovers an indubitable proposition and continues with an investigation into her ideas of herself and her ideas of corporeal things	50	
1 Hyperbolic Doubt – An addition to the Meditator’s belief-set – “Cogito, sum” (AT VII:23–5)	50	

2	What am “I?” – Former opinions doubted – “I” must be a mind – <i>Seeing</i> , and <i>Seeing</i> , (AT VII:25–30)	57
3	What are “corporeal things?” – Knowledge of [ideas of] corporeal things – The “piece of wax” – Extension – Intellect priority – Mind priority (AT VII:30–34)	62
4	Objections to Meditation Two	68
4	Meditation Three (I) The Meditator discovers how to distinguish true from false propositions by reference to the clarity and distinctness of his ideas and considers whether God is merely a subjective idea	78
1	Clear and distinct perception – Truth (AT VII:34–6)	78
2	Is the Deity (malevolent or benevolent) merely an idea? – Ideas and their sources – Substance, modes, accidents – Degrees of reality and degrees of perfection – Materially false ideas (AT VII:36–46)	86
3	Objections to Meditation Three (I)	94
5	Meditation Three (II) The Meditator finds that he can reach a perfect God in his thoughts and that this God cannot perpetrate fraud and deception and cannot be a Demon	97
1	Is God more than the Meditator’s invented idea? – Could the Meditator be God – ? Continuous creation (AT VII:46–51)	97
2	God is veracious – The malevolent Demon is an invented idea – The natural light – The conversion of BELIEVE TO TRUE – Attaining knowledge (AT VII:51–2)	103
3	Objections to Meditation Three (II)	106
6	Meditation Four (I) The Meditator broods on her epistemological and moral errors and deficiencies and discovers the true power of her will and its spontaneous attraction to truth and goodness	120
1	True and false judgment – Divine and human will – The use of free will (AT VII:52–8)	120
7	Meditation Four (II) The Meditator diagnoses the cause of her epistemological and moral errors, adds an	

error-prevention rule to her knowledge-set, and confirms that God is truly benevolent	133
1 Error – The denial of final causes – Divine goodness (AT vii:58–62)	133
2 Objections to Meditation Four	139
8 Meditation Five The Meditator reflects on his experiences of mathematical and abstract concepts and arrives at a proof of God’s existence	152
1 The essential nature of corporeal substance – Category 1 and Category 2 properties – Mathematical objects and mathematical truths – Triangles, unicorns, and God – Realism and Psychologism (AT vii:63–5)	152
2 The Ontological Argument – Could God (still) be a fictional entity? – Necessary existence (AT vii:65–71)	161
3 Objections to Meditation Five	167
9 Meditation Six (i) The Meditator determines that he is apparently attached to a particular human body. His mind and this putative body are nevertheless distinct and separable, so that immortality is possible even if bodies in general are perishable	170
1 Visual imagination – The Meditator’s putative body (AT vii:72–8)	170
2 Mortalism – Distinct existence – Separability of mind and body – Perception and imagination are not essential to the Meditator (AT vii:78)	176
3 Objections to Meditation Six (i)	182
10 Meditation Six (ii) The Meditator establishes that extramental corporeal things definitely exist, confirms that she has a personal body to which she is united, and learns that neither her sensations nor her perceptions resemble their causes in the external world	195
1 The active cause of ideas – Corporeal things exist (AT vii:78–80)	195

2	The resemblance theory of sensation refuted – God–Nature as an orderly system – The unity of mind and body – Sensations are confused (AT vii:80–1)	198
3	The resemblance theory of perception questioned (AT vii:81–3)	204
4	The functional body-machine – The semantic theory of sensation and perception (AT vii:83–4)	209
5	Objections to Meditation Six (ii)	212
II	Meditation Six (iii)	
	The Meditator learns how her body is organized, and discovers why her illnesses, like her errors, suggest that God is benevolent, and determines that she can proceed confidently in all the sciences	215
1	Sensory error – The sick body and its sensations – The nervous system (AT vii:84–9)	215
2	The end of doubt (AT vii:89–90)	219
3	Objections to Meditation Six (iii)	224
12	Descartes in context	230
1	The enigma of the <i>Meditations</i>	230
2	Some reactions to Cartesianism	238
3	Reception and repercussions of Cartesian doctrine in seventeenth- and eighteenth-century philosophy	241
4	Descartes and the formation of modernity	250
	<i>Appendix: the Objectors</i>	256
	<i>Glossary</i>	258
	<i>Further reading</i>	262
	<i>Index</i>	266

Figures

1	The overall task of becoming an ideal scientist	<i>page 25</i>
2	The Method of Total Credulity	26
3	The Method of Total Skepticism	27
4	The Subjective Policy	28
5	The Authority Principle	29
6	The Conformity Policy	29
7	The Withholding Policy	35
8	The Meditator's belief-set at the beginning of <i>Meditation One</i>	36
9	How things stand if there is a malevolent Demon	41
10	The Meditator's belief-set at the end of <i>Meditation One</i>	42
11	The Meditator's belief-set at the beginning of <i>Meditation Two</i>	51
12	A possible revision to the Meditator's belief-set (rejected)	53
13	Another possible revision to the Meditator's belief-set (rejected)	54
14	The Meditator's belief-set at the end of p. 25, <i>Meditation Two</i>	57
15	The Meditator's belief-set at the end of p. 27, <i>Meditation Two</i>	59
16	The Meditator's belief-set at the end of <i>Meditation Two</i>	67
17	The Meditator's belief-set at the beginning of <i>Meditation Three</i>	79
18	The Meditator's overall task	80
19	The conversion of BELIEVE to TRUE	104
20	The Meditator's knowledge-set at the end of <i>Meditation Three</i>	106
21	The "Cartesian Circle"	III

22	The Meditator's knowledge-set at the end of <i>Meditation Four</i>	137
23	The Meditator's knowledge-set at the beginning of <i>Meditation Five</i>	153
24	Category 1 and Category 2 properties	154
25	The Meditator's knowledge-set at the end of <i>Meditation Five</i>	166
26	The set of propositions that are still doubtful at the beginning of <i>Meditation Six</i>	176
27	The set of propositions that are still doubtful in the middle of <i>Meditation Six</i>	198
28	The Meditator's knowledge-set in <i>Meditation Six</i> , p. 81	204
29	The Meditator's knowledge-set at the conclusion of <i>Meditation Six</i>	220

Introduction: About the Meditations

Descartes's *Meditations on First Philosophy*, first published in 1641, are devoted to the following philosophical questions: What can we come to know about the human mind and its powers? Is there a reality behind appearances, and, if so, how can we have access to it? Do our experiences arise from our bodies and our brains, or could we think, feel, and perceive without them? How can we recognize truth and distinguish it from false and confused opinion? Is there a God, and, if so, is this God benevolent, malevolent, or simply indifferent to us? If this God is benevolent, how should we understand illness, error, and morally wrong actions?

This book is intended as a first introduction to the *Meditations* and, at the same time, as an introduction to some basic problems and terminology of analytic philosophy, including the theory of knowledge, metaphysics, philosophy of science, philosophy of perception, and philosophy of language. No previous experience in philosophy is presupposed. Chapter 1 is an introduction to the problem of knowledge in Cartesian terms and Chapters 2–11 lead the reader through the arguments of the *Meditations*, explaining and commenting on the important points along the way. Chapter 12 offers an explanation of the relationship of the *Meditations* to Descartes's other writings, and discusses the conflicting perceptions of Descartes in his own time. It outlines the relationship between Cartesian problems and doctrines and the evolution of modern philosophy. While the *Meditations* are unusual amongst philosophical works, insofar as it is possible to reconstruct and follow Descartes's main arguments without knowing anything about the seventeenth-century background, a brief survey of Descartes's life, character, and aspirations will help to set the stage for a detailed treatment of his text.

DESCARTES'S LIFE

René Descartes was born March 31, 1596, in Touraine, southeast of Paris, and educated at the Jesuit college of La Flèche, to which he was sent as a boarder at the age of ten. His future role as the founder of modern philosophy was not foreshadowed in his early accomplishments and interests. Somewhat sickly and fond of his sleep – not unusual qualities in an adolescent – the young Descartes showed no signs of iconoclasm or even of philosophical acumen. He studied logic, grammar, poetry, and history, and although he was an avid reader and much favored by the schoolmasters, the literary side of his education interested him less than the mathematical. After leaving school, he acquired a law degree but he never practiced. He wrote to a friend that he would have been happy as an artisan.

Returning home, Descartes learned such skills of the minor nobility as how to ride a horse and handle weapons. He was, however, not satisfied with life on a country estate and his family sent him to Paris, where he continued to study mathematics. In 1618, he signed on with Prince Maurice of Nassau who was fighting the Spanish, to experience, he said, the theatre of the world, to learn its manners and customs. He left the Netherlands in 1619 on a long tour through Eastern Europe. Wintering in southern Germany, he sought out learned persons for discussion before moving to Prague, where he encountered Tycho Brahe's new cosmological system, a compromise between the earth-centered system of the universe still in great favor and Copernicus's heliocentric cosmology, which represented our sun as only one of a multitude of stars and our planet as an even smaller speck on the cosmic scene. He studied geometry, optics, mechanics, music theory, and animal physiology. He began to wonder how the mind fitted or did not fit into the world of physical objects and processes.

A series of dreams in 1619 convinced Descartes that he had been specially favored by God and was destined to be a philosopher, which, in the terminology of the time, meant one devoted generally to the pursuit of knowledge of all things. The dreams stimulated nine years of work attempting to perfect a method of discovery, but in 1623 Descartes was still searching for a profession. He had published nothing in philosophy, or in any other field, though his ambitions were

grand. He announced to his friends that mathematics, as it was taught and applied in a haphazard and unsystematic way, was virtually useless, and stated his plan to invent a method of formal reasoning governing proportion and quantity of which ordinary geometry and arithmetic would compose only a part. This *mathesis universalis* or “universal analytical method” would embrace physical questions and, by extension, moral questions, insofar as these depended on human nature and the nature of the world. Returning to Paris, Descartes enjoyed an “agreeable and innocent” life, working on his universal method, and studying the theory of lenses. His *Rules for the Direction of the Mind*, formulated and partially written down in the early 1620s, presented some methodological ideas, along with some ideas about visual perception, but he was not sufficiently satisfied with them to publish them during his lifetime.

A popular image of Descartes represents him as a quiet and meditative person who enjoyed sitting still in his room and thinking. In fact, he was an unusually restless man who moved around and changed his residence frequently. Within a few years, he had decided to leave Paris, to escape the heat of the city, he claimed, and the press of crowds.

In fact, he was beginning to find the Parisian intellectual climate too conservative. The liberal atmosphere of Amsterdam enticed him back to the Netherlands, and, in 1628, Descartes settled there, to pursue his thoughts on philosophy in the broad sense, considering especially its claim to independence from theology. He was not interested, he decided, in theological subtleties and the mysteries of eternal salvation but in happiness in this life. It seemed to him that the union of medicine and mathematics through the formulation of a rationally intelligible account of the human body was the key to happiness, since both physical and emotional suffering had their basis in the body. He began to study the structure of animals, buying cadavers in butchers’ shops to take home and dissect. He also sketched out a two-part treatise on natural philosophy. The first part would be concerned with the constitution of matter and light, the laws of nature, and the origins and structure of the cosmos, and the second part would be concerned with animal and human bodies, considered as machines.

The treatise was never published, though the first part was amplified and reworked and eventually published as the *Principles of*

Philosophy. Then, in 1637, at the age of forty-one, Descartes brought out anonymously three scientific *Essays*, the *Optics*, the *Geometry*, and the *Meteorology*, the last dealing primarily with celestial phenomena. He appended to them a personal essay, the *Discourse on Method*, that detailed his frustrations with his own education, the uselessness of traditional philosophy, his beliefs about the similarities and differences between humans and animals, and his hope to be able to introduce into other areas of science the analytical techniques he had employed successfully in the *Essays*. He advanced the view that a proper understanding of the functioning of the human body would contribute to the improvement of medicine and morals and that a well-founded theory of the physical world would render us “masters and possessors of Nature.”

The *Essays* were followed four years later by the *Meditations on First Philosophy*. To the surprise of some of his followers, Descartes had turned his attention away from anatomy and physiology and from his theories regarding terrestrial and celestial phenomena. Instead, the *Meditations* took up the traditional topics of metaphysics – God and the soul – and the traditional topics of epistemology – truth, error, and the role of the senses in the acquisition of knowledge. Readers curious as to why Descartes set off in this new direction and what its consequences were will find further information and explanation, some of it necessarily speculative, in Chapter 12.

Descartes moved to a pleasant chateau near Leyden in 1643, shortly before releasing his complete system of the natural world as the *Principles of Philosophy* in 1644. Recalled to Paris, he objected to being put on display “like an elephant or a panther” and instead accepted the invitation of Queen Christina of Sweden to move to Stockholm to serve as her instructor, though she made little use of his talents. His interests shifted once more, this time from the physical sciences and metaphysics to what we would today call psychology and ethics. He wanted to understand the function of the “passions” that we suffer through our encounters with persons, objects, and events in the world, especially love, hatred, wonder, desire, joy, and sadness, and to determine how to overcome such psychological evils as anger, depression, and the fear of death. His last work, the *Passions of the Soul*, published after his death in 1650, developed out of an exchange of

letters with the lonely and intellectual Princess Elizabeth of Bohemia. The *Passions* describe the physical symptoms attached to the emotions and offer moral advice about their management.

Descartes's personal life can be reconstructed from the five volumes of letters he left behind. These convey the impression of a proud, sensitive, somewhat emotionally volatile man, anxious as to his reputation and not always perfectly straightforward in his accounts of himself, but whose commitment to clarity and truth is beyond doubt. His irascible and jealous nature did not preclude warmth and tenderness. He had an illegitimate daughter who died in early childhood and for whom he grieved for a long time. His letters touching on love and the passions have recently begun to intrigue commentators, some of whom note the first intimations of a theory of the unconscious.

THE MEDITATIONS

Many earlier philosophical books had purported to answer the questions of metaphysics and epistemology posed in the opening paragraph above. For all the precise and subtle reasoning employed by their authors, they were known to contradict one another. Worse, the plurality of opinions seemed to give rise to the following paradox: If we do not know what is *metaphysically* true about God, the mind, and the world, and what is false and confused, how can we establish that a particular philosopher's *epistemological* conception of how to distinguish truth from falsehood is not false and confused? At the same time, if we cannot have confidence in a particular philosopher's epistemology, how can we trust the metaphysical conclusions presented in the philosopher's writings?

The *Meditations* address this paradox. Descartes makes no direct reference in this text to past philosophers and their writings. He does not build on, or try to develop or refute the ideas of other philosophers, at least not explicitly. Like a mathematician, he tries to formulate a self-contained proof. (Mathematically inclined readers will be reminded of the method of "indirect proof," in which we assume that a proposition that we suspect is true is actually false.) Like an experimentalist, he changes ordinary conditions to see what happens. (His contemporary Francis Bacon tried carrying a clock down to the

bottom of a mine and stuffing the carcass of a chicken with snow; Galileo Galilei rolled a ball down a carefully constructed inclined plane.) Whether one sees the Meditator as trying out a thought-experiment in which customary ways of thinking are controlled and constrained like experimental objects, or as trying out the equivalent of an indirect proof in mathematics, the starting point of the *Meditations* is largely free of positive assumptions. The Meditator settles on a policy of radical and systematic doubt, uncertain at first whether anything is thereby to be gained. As it turns out, the policy is successful. It leads to significant discoveries in both metaphysics and epistemology – and to the discovery of some fundamental principles of natural philosophy concerning the human body and the bodies surrounding it. The Meditator even becomes convinced that, if future inquirers adopt his method, they will be able to make further discoveries about themselves and about the material world.

The *Meditations* are written from the first-person perspective of a narrator, the Meditator. Is the “I” of the *Meditations* René Descartes himself, recounting what he experienced and thought, over a period of precisely six days in 1640? Many introductions to the *Meditations* treat the Meditator as Descartes and describe Descartes as entertaining certain propositions or as coming to accept certain conclusions. I have not followed this practice for several reasons. First, the assumption that the “I” of the *Meditations* should be identified with the historical Descartes seemed methodologically unsound, insofar as the Six Days of Meditation never occurred, at least as far as we know. A number of the insights that suddenly occur to the Meditator – including the insight immortalized in the phrase *cogito, ergo sum*: I think, therefore I am – had occurred to the historical Descartes years earlier. The historical Descartes had even long since worked out an entire system of the physical universe and the human body of which he was quite certain, which the Meditator gives no hint of having ever done. The Meditator is better regarded as a fictional character (who could have existed) in a story taking the form of a voyage of intellectual (rather than geographical) discovery. The historical Descartes tells the story of the Meditator to a certain purpose, as is explained in Chapter 12.

Descartes believed that anyone who started at the Meditator's starting point and who employed his reasoning powers appropriately

would arrive at precisely the same conclusions as his Meditator does. He was not merely expressing the views *he* had arrived at by following a particular train of thought that could well have gone in a number of other directions. Everyone, according to Descartes, not only those with special aptitude and schooling, can be or become a philosopher. He wanted his audience to identify with his Meditator and to think along with his Meditator – and it is hard not to do so. While it might have seemed appropriate to refer to the Meditator as “It,” especially since, for more than five of the six *Meditations*, the Meditator is unpersuaded of the existence of an external world and of the Meditator’s own body, custom and clarity dictated the use of the anthropological pronouns, and I have accordingly alternated between “he” and “she,” for the most part on a *Meditation-by-Meditation* basis.

Descartes believed that, while later investigators might add to the knowledge of nature indefinitely, the answers he had given in the *Meditations* to the metaphysical and epistemological questions posed above were authoritative and final. His arguments were sound and his conclusions could not be overturned. Nor was there anything significant to be added to them. Are Descartes’s claims about the nature of truth, God, the mind, and the world really authoritative and final? Many of Descartes’s contemporaries – the more famous amongst them as well as the more obscure – strenuously denied that they were. Others became convinced Cartesians. Every reader of the *Meditations* has to try to decide the question for him or herself, by following the arguments and by applying to them the rigorous standards of critical thinking on which Descartes himself insisted.

The question whether Descartes’s claims were all true and adequately demonstrated is of course different from the question of his influence on the history of modern philosophy and modern science. This influence is profound, and Descartes can be said to have defined the main problems of modern philosophy for his immediate successors, Spinoza, Malebranche, Leibniz, and Berkeley. The best reason for reading Descartes, however, is not that what he said is beyond criticism, nor that his historical role as founder of modern philosophy makes him a worthy author. Rather, reading Descartes is a good experience, the philosophical equivalent of a journey to an interesting territory undertaken in the company of an agreeable stranger. No matter how many times one has read and annotated a copy of the

Meditations, a fresh reading is virtually guaranteed to bring new ideas and insights.

Besides the sharp distinction preserved in this text between the historical Descartes – the seventeenth-century intellectual combatant, friend and foe of numerous illustrious philosophers – and his solitary Meditator, two other departures from past convention are worth mentioning. First, the present commentary proceeds in strict chronological order, taking the arguments in exactly the sequence in which they come. Each section is geared to a set of quotations from the *Meditations* that are identified by a reference to the standard edition of Descartes's writings. Second, discussion of the *Objections* to the *Meditations* and Descartes's *Replies* to them has been relegated to the end of the main chapters.

THE OBJECTIONS AND REPLIES

The seven sets of *Objections* and *Replies* that Descartes appended to his *Meditations* are indispensable to an understanding of his main text. Recognizing their importance, past studies have moved back and forth between exposition and interpretation of Descartes's doctrine and analysis of the reactions to it by the more notable of his commentators. As well as forcing the reader to identify Descartes and the Meditator, this treatment interrupts the flow of the *Meditations*. Descartes could have written a dialectical treatise, considering and answering objections as he went along. He explains his reasons for not doing so and, as they are entirely credible, I resolved to respect them, even when some explanatory digressions were required to explain the main text. Young persons of my acquaintance pointed out that some readers would be tempted to skip the discussion of the *Objections* and *Replies* at the end of each chapter. Well, an author is not after all a policeman . . . I can only hope that readers will not succumb to this temptation, even if it is only for self-interested reasons. The criticisms raised and parried not only clarify the meaning of the earlier text and deepen the reader's appreciation of the issues, but are an excellent source of topics for examinations and term papers.

Though I have tried to represent Descartes's arguments and intentions as objectively as possible, every commentary is at the same time

an interpretation and the present commentary is no exception. I have taken the doctrine of the good body of *Meditation Six* to be Descartes's intended contribution to natural philosophy and have laid more than usual emphasis on the preoccupation of his critics with the possibility that God is fictional and that corporeal substance can think. My intellectual debts to the books and articles cited in the section on Further Reading and to colleagues and students are at the same time substantial. Discussions with Husain Sarkar and Gábor Boros helped especially to shape my understanding of Descartes's procedures on one hand and of the theodicy hidden in his metaphysics on the other. I am also grateful to Tim Christie for assistance with the Index, and to Hilary Gaskin for proposing the project and for sound advice along the way. The edition cited is *The Philosophical Writings of Descartes*, 3 volumes, translated by John Cottingham, Robert Stoothoff, Dugald Murdoch and Anthony Kenny (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1984–91). References in the text (AT) are to the standard edition, *Oeuvres de Descartes*, 11 volumes, edited by Charles Adam and Paul Tannery (Paris, Vrin/CNRS, 1964–76). Citations are by volume number and page, as these are given in the English translation.

CHAPTER I

The situation of the Meditator is described and his desire to demolish everything and begin again is explored, while the Reader is introduced to some basic philosophical concepts

I THE MEDITATOR – THE BUILDING
METAPHOR – “KNOWLEDGE-CONDITIONS” – SCIENTIFIC
AND UNSCIENTIFIC MENTALITIES (AT VII:17–18)

Some years ago I was struck by the large number of falsehoods that I had accepted as true in my childhood, and by the highly doubtful nature of the whole edifice that I had subsequently based on them. I realized that it was necessary, once in the course of my life, to demolish everything completely and start again right from the foundations if I wanted to establish anything at all in the sciences that was stable and likely to last.
(VII:17)

Our first introduction to the Meditator finds him in a mood of disillusion but, at the same time, full of confident resolve.

The Meditator’s aim, it seems, is to establish something in the sciences that will be “stable and likely to last.” He has apparently just realized that he is going to have to “demolish everything completely” and start again “right from the foundations” in order to accomplish this task.

This announcement raises a number of questions. Who is the Meditator and why is he so disenchanted? How did the Meditator discover “some years ago” that the beliefs he had acquired in childhood and built on subsequently were falsehoods? Why did he wait so long to do anything about this grievous state of affairs? What is the plan to demolish “everything” completely and why does the Meditator

sample content of Descartes's Meditations: An Introduction (Cambridge Introductions to Key Philosophical Texts)

- [*Galatea book*](#)
- [The I-5 Killer online](#)
- [read online Adventures With The Wife and Blake, Volume 2: The Avon Years here](#)
- [Near a Thousand Tables: A History of Food Near a Thousand Tables pdf, azw \(kindle\)](#)

- <http://flog.co.id/library/Galatea.pdf>
- <http://betsy.wesleychapelcomputerrepair.com/library/The-Rockefeller-File--Secret.pdf>
- <http://pittiger.com/lib/Gap-Creek--The-Story-of-a-Marriage.pdf>
- <http://test.markblaustein.com/library/Near-a-Thousand-Tables--A-History-of-Food-Near-a-Thousand-Tables.pdf>