

BOSTON UNIVERSITY
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Dissertation

**CHARLES SELIGER: REPRESENTING
“THE STRUCTURE OF BECOMING”**

by

MICHELLE DUBOIS

B.A., University of Washington, Seattle, 1986
M.A., University of Washington, Seattle, 2000

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

2009

UMI Number: 3357640

Copyright 2009 by
Dubois, Michelle

All rights reserved.

INFORMATION TO USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleed-through, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

UMI[®]

UMI Microform 3357640


Copyright 2009 by ProQuest LLC.


All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.


ProQuest LLC
789 E. Eisenhower Parkway
PO Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346

© Copyright by
MICHELLE DUBOIS
2009

Approved by

First Reader 
Patricia Hills, Ph.D.
Professor of Art History
Boston University

Second Reader 
Gregory Williams, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Art History
Boston University

Third Reader 
Gail Levin, Ph.D.
Distinguished Professor of Art History
Baruch College and The Graduate Center, City University of New
York

Acknowledgments

This project has benefited from many types of support, intellectual, financial, and personal. Foremost, I would like to express my deep gratitude to my dissertation advisor, Patricia Hills. Even before entering Boston University as a doctoral candidate, she encouraged my ambition and guided my intellectual development. My skills as a scholar have been greatly refined through her mentorship. I will always remember and appreciate the intellectual and personal support she has shown me. I would also like to express my great appreciation to Gregory Williams, who talked with me at great length about my plans and ideas for this project. He provided astute commentary and paid keen attention to detail and nuance, all of which contributed immensely to this project.

I would like to express my gratitude to my other dissertation committee members. To Gail Levin for taking the time to contribute to my scholarship, and for making the journey to Boston in order to participate in my defense, I am very grateful. To Theodore E. Stebbins, Jr., I would like to express my thanks not only for his contributions to this dissertation, but also for the opportunity to work at the Fogg Museum on fellowships, which I consider among the highlights of my professional development. I am thankful for the experience these fellowships provided me. To Jonathan Ribner, whose balance of intellect and sensitivity I have long admired, I value his application of both of these qualities to my project.

I would also like to express my deep appreciation to Francis V. O'Connor, whose groundbreaking book *Charles Seliger, Redefining Abstract Expressionism* helped inspire this dissertation. Without his publication, this dissertation would have been greatly

impoverished and without question would have taken much longer to complete. I also appreciate the time he took on several occasions to meet and discuss my work. As a scholar knowledgeable in the subjects of Charles Seliger's work, as well as the theories of Carl Jung and Abstract Expressionism, his opinions on and suggestions for my dissertation were highly informed and helped me greatly.

I would like to thank a few individuals who are not only friends, but who also read all of my work and gave me invaluable suggestions for improving my ideas. Susan Anderson, Virginia Anderson, Michelle Lamuniere, Kimberly Marsteller and Celka Straughn all read this work in its earliest (and least persuasive) form, and with humor and acuity, made suggestions for sharpening my writing and my arguments. I am very appreciative of their efforts to help this become a better manuscript and will miss our bi-weekly meetings. I also appreciated the warmth, wit and generosity of spirit of a number of friends while at Boston University including, Virginia Anderson, Kate Palmer Albers, Jaimey Hamilton, Michelle Lamuniere, Stephanie Mayer-Heydt, Stacey McCarroll-Cutshaw, Melissa Renn, Becky Senf and Francine Weiss.

I would like to acknowledge and express my appreciation to the faculty, students and staff of the Art History Department of Boston University, who provided great emotional support after my home was destroyed in a fire half way through the process of completing my doctoral degree. Many individuals in the department contributed towards a generous gift certificate to help me rebuild my scholarly library. I will always remember the generosity and kindness shown to me at that difficult time.

Individuals at numerous institutions helped me find information and material. I am always humbled by the selflessness of the professionals who work in libraries, without whom scholarship would surely be impoverished. I would particularly like to thank the following: Inge DuPont and Maria Molestina at the Pierpont Morgan Library, New York; Jennifer Tobias at the Museum of Modern Art Library, New York; MacKenzie Bennet at the Museum of Modern Art Archives, New York; and Richard McElroy, Mass. College of Art, Special Collections, Boston. I would also like to single out the entire Interlibrary Loan staff at Boston University for special appreciation. They worked ceaselessly on my behalf, and on a number of occasions, went above and beyond the call of duty, which was greatly appreciated.

Financially, this project benefited from assistance from the Henry Luce Foundation American Art Dissertation Award, administered by Boston University and the Bease and Harry Adelson Travel Grant Award for American Art Dissertation Research. For this support, I am most grateful.

I would like to express gratitude to a number of steadfast friends who always expressed great interest and support in my progress. My dear friends Susan and Samuel Boutin, I profoundly thank for their unceasing encouragement as well as patience when it probably seemed that all I did was talk about my work. I would like to express thanks also to my friends John Bulger, James Whalen, James and Kyle Yasinski, Michael and Suzanne McCleery and Sona Sandalian. These friendships are of great value to me and helped me balance my life as a scholar with some levity.

To halley harrisburg and Michael Rosenfeld, without whom this project ultimately could not have been undertaken, I am very appreciative for all the support they have provided. Though not mentioned much in this dissertation, Charles Seliger has two kind, intelligent and insightful sons. Robert and Mark Seliger gave me perspectives of Charles Seliger, who balanced full-time employment, a full-time painting career, and fatherhood. They helped create a more textured and nuanced understanding of him as a person. I appreciate their candidness and thoughtfulness with me.

To Charles and Lenore Seliger, I wish to express my deepest appreciation for so many things, including picking me up at the train station, feeding me wonderful meals, allowing me access to personal papers, undergoing endless interviews, and working with me doggedly, sometimes for long days at a time. I will always have an image in my mind of Charles sitting with his cup of tea, wearing his bow tie, and ready to answer my incessant questions. After a long day of work, Lenore frequently drove me back to my hotel, blithely navigating Manhattan traffic and offering astute commentary on painting and the art world. I cannot elaborate enough on the myriad forms of generosity they showed me. I thank them both for making this not only an intellectually rewarding project, but a personally fulfilling one as well, due to having made their acquaintance.

I would like to thank family members, particularly my sister-in-law Nilla Gambill who has two doctorate degrees. She called often to check on my progress and I appreciated her sense of perspective! To my nieces Amanda and Isabella, Allie, Michaela and nephew Spencer, I know one you are all destined for higher education. To my

parents, Lee and Gail and my brother Mark, I appreciate the balance and sense of self-irony you always made sure I had.

Last, but certainly not least, to my husband David Gambill, to whom I owe a debt of gratitude, for his encouragement, love, cheering, and especially for his unwavering strength of belief in me. He has been my fortress and I dedicate this work to him.

CHARLES SELIGER: REPRESENTING

“THE STRUCTURE OF BECOMING”

(Order No.)

MICHELLE DUBOIS

Boston University Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, 2009

Major Professor: Patricia Hills, Professor of Art History

ABSTRACT

Charles Seliger’s high-density, abstract, metaphysical paintings convey his perceptions that despite eternal change, there is an underlying order or set of eternal laws that shapes all of reality. Since Seliger’s paintings first came into the public eye during the era of Abstract Expressionism scholars tend to characterize him as an overlooked Abstract Expressionist or as *sui generis*. This dissertation on Charles Seliger differs from past scholarship on his oeuvre and on mid-century abstract painting in general. The small size, the order conveyed by his work, and his philosophical subject matter, are qualities that clearly relate to the work of artists with whom he exhibited at the Willard Gallery in New York, from 1950 until 1970. By articulating the formal characteristics and philosophical content pursued by Seliger and his Willard Gallery peers, we will have a more nuanced and focused picture of abstract painting at mid-century. Hence, through a study of the work of Charles Seliger, this dissertation seeks to identify and articulate another strand of American abstraction.

Chapter One explores how Seliger developed the artistic philosophies and formal approaches of the Surrealists-in-exile in New York into his own unique idiom. Chapter

Two presents a contextual analysis of Seliger's work – both the common features and the differences – with that of the emerging Abstract Expressionists. Chapter Three examines the philosophy of Marian Willard, a student of Carl Jung and Seliger's dealer, who selected artists who she believed referred to a universal collective unconscious in their work. This chapter includes comparative analysis of Seliger's paintings with other Willard Gallery artists. Chapter Four considers Seliger's late career and his interest in an epistemological approach to knowledge formation called Complexity Theory, a methodology that looks at patterns and the relationships of seemingly random phenomena.

Seliger was neither an isolated figure in art history nor was he an overlooked Abstract Expressionist. His paintings belong to a smaller, more meditative counterpoint to Abstract Expressionism, to a category awaiting fuller investigation.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	ix
List of Illustrations	xii
Introduction	1
Chapter One An Abstract Surrealist Takes Form	28
Chapter Two Charles Seliger and Abstract Expressionism	79
Chapter Three “A Calm Within The Hurricane” – Willard Gallery Artists During the Reign of Abstract Expressionism	134
Chapter Four Seliger, Science and Complexity, Mid-Career into The Contemporary Realm	190
Summary	241
Illustrations	251
Bibliography	326
Curriculum Vitae	340

List of Illustrations

Fig. 1.1	Charles Seliger, <i>Landscape, Gordon Park (Jersey City)</i> , 1939, oil on canvas board, 16" x 12", Collection of the Artist	251
Fig. 1.2	Charles Seliger, <i>Abstraction/Composition</i> , 1941, watercolor and ink on cardboard, 12" x 9", Collection of the Artist	252
Fig. 1.3	Charles Seliger, <i>Untitled (Drawing)</i> , 1940, graphite on paper, 8" x 11", Collection of the Artist	253
Fig. 1.4	Charles Seliger, <i>Rider</i> , 1943, oil on canvasboard, 12" x 9", Collection of the Artist	254
Fig. 1.5	Charles Seliger, <i>Tidings</i> , 1943, oil on canvasboard, 12" x 9", Collection of the Artist	255
Fig. 1.6	Cover, <i>VVV</i> , Inaugural Issue, June 1942.	256
Fig. 1.7	Charles Seliger, <i>Bird and Flower</i> , 1943, oil on canvasboard, 10" x 14", Collection of the Artist	257
Fig. 1.8	Charles Seliger, <i>Primal Markings I</i> , 1943, oil on canvasboard, 25" x 18", Collection of the Artist	258
Fig. 1.9	Charles Seliger, <i>Primal Markings III</i> , 1943, oil on canvasboard, 18 1/8" x 12 1/4", Collection of the Artist	259
Fig. 1.10	Charles Seliger, <i>Confrontation</i> , 1944, oil on canvas, 27 3/4" x 22", Greenville County Museum of Art, Greenville, SC	260
Fig. 1.11	Mark Rothko, <i>Sea Fantasy</i> , 1946, oil on canvas, 44" x 56 1/8", National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.	261
Fig. 1.12	Mark Rothko, <i>Birth of the Cephalopods</i> , 1944, oil on canvas, 39 5/8 x 53 11/16", The Mark Rothko Foundation, N.Y.	262
Fig. 1.13	Charles Seliger, <i>Interior Space</i> , 1944, oil on canvas, 36" x 15", Courtesy, Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	263
Fig. 1.14	Charles Seliger, <i>Seated Woman</i> , 1945, tempera on muslin, 27 x 15 1/4", Collection of the Artist	264

Fig. 1.15	Charles Seliger, <i>Cerebral Landscape</i> , 1944, oil on canvas, 24 3/8" x 18 3/8", The Wadsworth Atheneum Museum of Art, Hartford, CT	265
Fig. 1.16	Charles Seliger, <i>Cellular Mansion</i> , 1945, oil on canvas, 25" x 30", Collection of the Artist	266
Fig. 2.1	Charles Seliger, <i>Hidden Skeleton</i> , 1945, oil on canvas, 22" x 28", Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	267
Fig. 2.2	Charles Seliger, <i>Natural History, Form Within Rock</i> , 1946, oil on canvas, 25" x 30", The Museum of Modern Art, NY	268
Fig. 2.3	Charles Seliger, <i>Multi-Cellular Apparition</i> , 1946, oil on canvas, 28" x 22 1/4" Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	269
Fig. 2.4	Charles Seliger, <i>Earth Crust, Intimate View</i> , 1947, oil on canvas, 25 x 30" Collection of the Artist	270
Fig. 2.5	Charles Seliger, <i>Hidden Flower Under Earth</i> , 1949, tempera, oil, and ink on cardboard, 14 1/4" x 10 1/4", Munson-Williams-Proctor Arts Institute, Utica, NY	271
Fig. 2.6	Charles Seliger, <i>Locust</i> , 1949, tempera on illustration board, 10 1/2 " x 15", Collection of the Artist	272
Fig. 2.7	Charles Seliger, <i>Wasp</i> , 1950, tempera, watercolor and ink on paper, 10 1/2" x 10 1/4" Courtesy, Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	273
Fig. 2.8	Barnett Newman Standing in Front of his painting, <i>Cathedra</i> , 1958, Photograph by Paul Juley, Peter A. Juley and Son Collection. National Museum of American Art, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC	274
Fig. 3.1	Charles Seliger, <i>Dr. Carl Jung, From TV Interview</i> , 1963, graphite on paper, 5 1/2" x 8"	275
Fig. 3.2	Charles Seliger, <i>Slate and Moss</i> , 1951, oil on canvas, 19 1/2" x 25 1/4", Collection of the Artist	276

Fig. 3.3	Charles Seliger, <i>Sea Fossils</i> , oil on canvas, 9 ½" x 13 ½", Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	277
Fig. 3.4	Charles Seliger, <i>Desert Memories</i> , 1954, oil, watercolor and ink on paper, 12" x 20 5/8", Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	278
Fig. 3.5	Charles Seliger, <i>Dream Sequence</i> , 1954, graphite, tempera and oil on illustration board, 12" x 14", Collection of the Artist	279
Fig. 3.6	Charles Seliger, <i>Blue Cavern</i> , 1956, charcoal and oil on illustration board, 15" x 19", Collection of the Artist	280
Fig. 3.7	Charles Seliger, <i>Spring Shoots</i> , 1958, oil on canvas, 16" x 12", Jane Vorhees Zimmerli Art Museum, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Trenton, N.J.	281
Fig. 3.8.	Charles Seliger, <i>Moon- Frosty Night</i> , 1958, oil on canvas, 27" x 14", Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	282
Fig. 3.9	Mark Tobey, <i>Voyage of the Saints</i> , 1952, watercolor on cardboard, 22" x 27 7/8", Munson-Williams-Proctor Institute, Museum of Art, Utica, NY	283
Fig. 3.10	Mark Tobey, <i>Above the Earth, V</i> , 1956, tempera on canvas, 18" x 11 ¾", Collection, Mr. and Mrs. Richard Lippold, Locust Valley, NY	284
Fig. 3.11	Mark Tobey, <i>Jeweled Jungle</i> , 1958, tempera and ink on cardboard, 9 ¼" x 13 ¾", Current Location Unknown	285
Fig. 3.12	Norman Lewis, <i>Metropolitan Crowd</i> , 1946, oil on canvas, 18" x 40", Delaware Art Museum, Wilmington, Delaware	286
Fig. 3.13	Norman Lewis, <i>Jazz Band</i> , 1948, Incised on Black coated Masonite, 20" x 23 7/8", Location Unknown	287
Fig. 3.14	Norman Lewis, <i>Congregation</i> , 1950, oil on canvas, 24" x 18", Collection of Bill Hodges, NY	288
Fig. 3.15	Norman Lewis, <i>By Moonlight</i> , 1952, Pen, ink, oil on paper, 29 ½" x 25 ½", Collection of Tarin. M. Fuller, NY	289

Fig. 3.16	Norman Lewis, <i>Ovum</i> , 1961, oil on canvas, 50" x 71", Collection of Mrs. Ouida Lewis, NY	290
Fig. 3.17	Charles Seliger, <i>Bamboo</i> , 1958, oil on illustration board, 15" x 20", Munson-Williams-Proctor Arts Institute, Utica, NY	281
Fig. 3.18	Charles Seliger, <i>The Alighting</i> , 1956-57, tempera, oil and wax on illumination board, 15" x 19", Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, NY	292
Fig. 3.19	Morris Graves, <i>Bird in the Spirit</i> , 1943, gouache on paper, 24" x 30"; The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Arthur Hoppock Hearn Fund, NY	293
Fig. 3.20	Morris Graves, <i>Time of Change</i> , 1943, tempera on paper, 24" x 30". Private Collection	294
Fig. 3.21	Charles Seliger, <i>Glissando</i> , 1965, acrylic on canvas, 50 ½ x 45 ½" Collection of the Artist	295
Fig. 3.22	Charles Seliger, <i>Untitled (Green Bird)</i> , 1966, acrylic on canvas, 48" x 41", Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	296
Fig. 3.23	Lee Mullican, <i>Oblique of Agawam</i> , (Center section of <i>Agawam Triptych</i>), 1950, oil on canvas, 50" x 40", Collection of Miani Johnson, Willard Gallery, NY.	297
Fig. 3.24	Lee Mullican, <i>Untitled</i> , oil on masonite, 25 ¾" x 49 5/8", Collection of William Resnick and Douglas Cordell	298
Fig. 3.25	Lee Mullican, <i>Untitled (From the Raja Series)</i> , 1962, oil on canvas, Collection Douglas Cordell	299
Fig. 4.1	Charles Seliger, <i>Peacock Feathers</i> , 1960, oil on canvas, 13 ½" x 17 ¾", Collection of the Artist	300
Fig. 4.2	Charles Seliger, Detail, <i>Peacock Feathers</i> ,	301
Fig. 4.3	Charles Seliger, <i>Blue Flight</i> , 1960, oil on canvas, 19" x 15" Collection of the Artist	302
Fig. 4.4	Charles Seliger, <i>Circean Night</i> , 1961, oil on canvas, 14" x 10", Collection of the Artist	303

Fig. 4.5	Charles Seliger, <i>The Pond</i> , 1963, oil on canvas, 23 7/8" x 19 7/8", Seattle Art Museum, Seattle, WA	304
Fig. 4.6	Charles Seliger, <i>Earth Jewels</i> , 1963, oil on canvas, 24" x 31", Collection of Joseph and Mary Weinstein, NY	305
Fig. 4.7	Charles Seliger, <i>Earth's Edge</i> , 1964, oil on canvas, 20" x 30", Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.	306
Fig. 4.8	Charles Seliger, <i>City Night</i> , 1964, oil on canvas, 20" x 18", Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.	307
Fig. 4.9.	Charles Seliger, <i>Night Blossom</i> , 1964, oil on canvas, 19" x 15", Collection of the Artist	308
Fig. 4.10	Charles Seliger, <i>Quanta</i> , 1964, oil on canvas, 30" x 40", Collection of Elaine and Hyman G. Weitzen, NY	309
Fig. 4.11	Francois Morillet, <i>Screen Painting: 0 Degrees, 22.5 Degrees, 45 Degrees, 67.5 Degrees</i> , 1958, oil on canvas, 55 1/8" x 55 1/8", Galerie Denise René, Paris	310
Fig. 4.12	Bridget Riley, <i>Current</i> , 1964, emulsion on composition board, 58 3/8" x 58 3/8" Museum of Modern Art, Philip C. Johnson Fund, NY	311
Fig. 4.13	Charles Seliger, <i>Luminous Fields</i> , 1965, oil on canvas, 28" x 32", Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	312
Fig. 4.14	Charles Seliger, <i>Sargasso</i> , 1965, 39" x 46 1/4", Rose Art Museum, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA	313
Fig. 4.15	Charles Seliger, <i>Ocean</i> , 1973-74, tempera on canvas, 12" x 9", Private Collection	314
Fig. 4.16	Charles Seliger, <i>Natural History</i> , 1974, acrylic on canvas, 14 3/8" x 9 1/8", Jane Vorhees Zimmerli Art Museum, Rutgers State University of New Jersey, Trenton, NJ	315
Fig. 4.17	Charles Seliger, <i>Desert Veil</i> , 1976, acrylic on linen canvas, 12" x 15 3/8", Collection of the Artist	316

Fig. 4.18	Charles Seliger, <i>Crypt</i> , 1980, acrylic on linen canvas, 8 5/8" x 15 1/8", High Museum of Art, Atlanta, GA	317
Fig. 4.19	Charles Seliger, <i>Secret Passage</i> , 1988, acrylic on canvas, 8" x 10", Collection of the Artist	318
Fig. 4.20	Charles Seliger, <i>Runic Veil</i> , 1989, acrylic on canvas, 14" x 10", Private Collection, Switzerland	319
Fig. 4.21	Charles Seliger, Close-Up, <i>Runic Veil</i> ,	320
Fig. 4.22	James Siena, <i>Narrows</i> , 1999, enamel on aluminum panel, 29 1/6 x 22 11/16, Collection of Daniel Weinberg, L.A.	321
Fig. 4.23	James Siena, <i>Upside Down Devil</i> , 2000, enamel on aluminum panel, 19 1/4 x 15 1/8, Private Collection. L.A.	322
Fig. 4.24	Charles Seliger, <i>Ways of Nature</i> , #14, 2007, acrylic, colored pencil, matte gel and beeswax varnish on gessoed masonite, 16" x 12", Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	323
Fig. 4.25	Charles Seliger, <i>Ways of Nature</i> , #10, 2007, acrylic, colored pencil, matte gel, and beeswax varnish on gessoed masonite, 16" x 20", Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	324
Fig. 4.26	Charles Seliger, <i>Ways of Nature</i> , #19, 2008, acrylic, colored pencil, matte gel and beeswax varnish on gessoed masonite, 18" x 14", Courtesy of Michael Rosenfeld Gallery, NY	325

Introduction

Since the 1940s, Charles Seliger has been producing dense, small-scale abstract paintings depicting his imaginary view of the complex spheres of nature that reside beyond human vision. Using the Surrealist-inspired technique of automatism, Seliger starts each painting by applying paint, scraping it off, and applying another color of paint and so on for weeks or even months. When certain shapes in the layers of paint suggest some organic form to Seliger, he consciously intervenes in the painting process. He completes his painting by meticulously applying ultra-fine calligraphic markings, densely covering the expressionist paint layers, in a manner that suggests a myriad of tiny, swarming forms. His paintings are highly intricate evocations of the teeming realms of micro-organisms, cells and molecules, as well as the bodily viscera of humans, animals and insects. His work simultaneously evokes the macrocosmic sphere, dense with planets in the process of formation and destruction. His paintings suggest that these realms are, ultimately, the same. The unpremeditated, unplanned manner in which Seliger applies paint to surface simulates randomness and chaos. Yet, because of the very meticulous calligraphic lines Seliger laboriously applies to his paintings, his paintings ultimately transmit the sense of an ordered, structured, albeit complex world. The invisible realms of nature that Seliger depicts begin in what seems to be a state of chaos and the inchoate, but are ultimately revealed as bound by order.

The imaginary natural worlds that Seliger depicts are in a perpetual state of metamorphosis. Borrowing a phrase from the philosopher Edmond Husserl, Seliger

describes his paintings as representing the “structure of becoming.”¹ The dialectic embodied in this phrase perfectly summarizes Seliger’s career-long quest to forge a reconciliation of opposites in his work. In each and every painting, he enacts and depicts the struggle between chaos and order as it occurs in nature, as well as in the creative process he personally undergoes when he produces a painting. Charles Seliger’s paintings representing what lies below or beyond the visible in nature fundamentally convey the nature of his own subjectivity.

Though his career has intersected with numerous historical epochs and stylistic fashions, his working methods, subject matter and philosophy have remained remarkably consistent for over sixty years. Seliger’s paintings have always been at odds with the reductionist tendencies of modern art; indeed, in his paintings Seliger represents the concept of the complexity of nature and of human nature. Seliger’s art-making process, the formal qualities of his work, and the subject matter all function together and serve as a part of his quest to convey his vision of the existence of a drive to order in nature, as well as in human nature, and in his own, personal, creative process.

Seliger’s Artistic Career

Charles Seliger began reading about art and experimenting with making art as a teenager. Seliger never had formal art training; he is an auto-didact, having taught

¹ Journal Entry of December 1, 1980, in which Seliger wrote, “I found a wonderful phrase while reading *Phenomenology and the Crisis of Philosophy* by Edmond Husserl, a phrase which I think states the nature of my painting in a most exact way: ‘The Structure of Becoming,’ two aspects of my work, so clear to me, in such a simple phrase. My paintings are always concerned with the most minute relationships and structure yet always remain in flux, in a state of becoming, never (in spite of the intensity and detail) to arrive at a final and recognizable form.”

himself about art by reading and experimenting.² In 1943, when he was just seventeen, Seliger went to Peggy Guggenheim's Art of This Century Gallery, submitting two paintings for the Spring Salon show, a juried exhibition. Though his work was not selected for the show, this move was a fortuitous one, for on that visit he met Jimmy Ernst, the son of Max Ernst. Jimmy Ernst was in the process of opening his own gallery, the Norlyst Gallery, which he invited Seliger to join. Seliger met many artists because of this association, including a number of the eminent artists in exile, such as André Breton, Max Ernst and Marcel Duchamp. Finding himself in the company of these mature and well-known artists, Seliger was galvanized to learn as much as possible about modern art, especially Surrealism. This he accomplished by reading books and the "little magazines" *View*, *VVV* and *London Bulletin*. Seliger's lifelong artistic quest to depict the invisible realms of nature, to depict the structure of becoming, or metamorphosis, as well as his use of automatism to unleash random forms upon which to build a painting, were all established at this early and pivotal moment in American art history.

The Surrealists in exile influenced Seliger and a number of other abstract artists in New York during the 1940s, many of whom would go on to become Abstract Expressionists. For several years in the mid-1940s, Seliger's paintings were consistently

² Note that I do not use the term "self-taught" because that has fairly specific connotations. Briefly, as outlined by the sociologist and art historian Gary Fine, the important variables of artists in the "Self-Taught" category are those artists who: (1) usually have race and/or class constraints which deny them access to, or even knowledge of art-world institutions; (2) are "discovered" by other artists or important people in the art world, and then this "myth of discovery" becomes important to the narrative and reception of their work; (3) biography plays a critical part of the understanding of the art of self-taught art; self-taught artists need to describe why they make art, what in their life caused them to produce art, and this drive is often referred to as a calling or an obsession. Trained artists are not made to explain this, as art is their profession. See Gary Alan Fine, *Everyday Genius, Self-Taught Art and the Culture of Authenticity*, (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2004). None of these characteristics apply to Seliger, thus I use the term "auto-didact."

shown in a number of exhibitions of the nascent, still unnamed Abstract Expressionist group. These artists borrowed a little from Surrealism, and from Expressionism, and in the process produced a new form of abstract painting. Critics and dealers were at a loss as to how to describe this new painting, whether to emphasize formal characteristics, content, or both. However, for a number of years, critics and dealers considered Seliger's work as representing one component of the many that comprised this complicated new form of abstract painting.

In the late 1940s, a number of these artists (such as Jackson Pollock, Robert Motherwell, Willem De Kooning and others with whom Seliger associated), began painting on very large canvases and their work had a spontaneous, raw, unfinished look. At about this same time, Seliger made the decision to paint on a very small scale, and to make paintings dense with form and line. Due to these critical decisions made by Seliger in 1948 and 1949, when Abstract Expressionism was essentially solidified as a group, his work was no longer included in the same shows or written about in the same context as his former artistic peers.

Although Seliger was not considered to be an Abstract Expressionist by critics or dealers in the 1950s, he was not *sui generis* as some scholars have called him (more on this below), nor was he a loner in the art world. For nearly twenty years, during his mid-career, Seliger's paintings bore much in common with a small group of abstract artists who were all represented by Marian Willard at the Willard Gallery. Marian Willard had a specific philosophical lens through which she selected artists to represent, shaped by her training with Carl Jung in Zurich in 1937. Willard represented artists whose work she felt

in some way embodied a universal, spiritual content, derived from a collective unconscious (per Jung's theories). Though a number of Abstract Expressionists shared these characteristics, Seliger and other Willard Gallery artists (including Mark Tobey, Norman Lewis, Lee Mullican and others) produced work that was different from Abstract Expressionism both formally and in terms of content. Willard Gallery artists tended to work on a smaller scale using controlled calligraphic gestures. These formal qualities contributed to the meaning of their work, which can broadly be characterized as illustrations of their perceptions of an ordered and holistic state of being in the universe. The paintings by Charles Seliger and other Willard Gallery artists are another strand of mid-century abstraction, concurrent with and related to Abstract Expressionism as a smaller, quieter, more polished counterpoint.

After Marian Willard left the art business in the late 1960s, Seliger went through a period of nearly fifteen years when he continued to paint, had gallery representation and sold paintings; however, his type of personal, expressive painting was not in favor in the art world. This was a quiet period in his professional career that did not change until the late 1970s and early 1980s, at which point there was a wholesale reconsideration of the definition of Abstract Expressionism. A number of scholars sought to reassess and revise the framework that structured these artists as a group. In this process, many scholars began to dig into the historical record to look at the early stages of the movement, to investigate who had been excluded from the group and to consider why. With the renewed consideration of Abstract Expressionism came a renewed interest in Seliger's work.

In the late part of his career, Seliger became aware that his quest to depict the struggle between chaos and order in his work and the drive to show order as dominant, dovetailed with a contemporary interest in the arts and sciences in a method for interpreting information and knowledge called Complexity Theory. This is a broad, interdisciplinary epistemological framework for looking at patterns in the universe at multiple levels and is a theory to which Seliger subscribes. Complexity Theorists believe that modernity and the contemporary realm is defined by ever increasing amounts of knowledge and the discovery of ever smaller particles, ad infinitum. They also believe that there is an underlying order in the universe.³ In a remarkable way, Seliger's life-long artistic project to portray the dominance of order over chaos and to depict the structure of becoming (which relates in Complexity Theory to the concept of "emergence"), intersected late in his career with this new mode of apprehending our reality.

The Importance of a Monograph on Charles Seliger

Numerous short essays have been written about Seliger's paintings in exhibition catalogues. Works from early in Seliger's career, when he was exhibiting with the Abstract Expressionists, and late in his career, when the Michael Rosenfeld Gallery represented him, are the two periods in his career that have received the most consideration to date. Many paintings and bodies of work, especially from the 1950s until

³ Though this might sound like the Theory of Intelligent Design espoused by many fundamentalist Christians, it is not the case at all. This is a highly scientific mode of looking at pattern production in the universe, using mathematical models and computers to discern similar patterns and formal orders which occur across a wide range of disparate phenomena.

sample content of Charles Seliger: Representing 'the Structure of Becoming'

- [download Analysis and Design of Integrated Circuit-Antenna Modules online](#)
- [Five Get into Trouble \(Famous Five, Book 8\) pdf, azw \(kindle\), epub, doc, mobi](#)
- [Radio Benjamin online](#)
- [Istanbul Was a Fairy Tale here](#)
- **[read The Mammoth Book of Historical Whodunnits \(The Mammoth Book Series, Volume 2\)](#)**

- <http://rodrigocaporal.com/library/Analysis-and-Design-of-Integrated-Circuit-Antenna-Modules.pdf>
- <http://ramazotti.ru/library/The-Week--UK---21-May-2016-.pdf>
- <http://patrickvincitore.com/?ebooks/Clark-s-Big-Book-of-Bargains.pdf>
- <http://schroff.de/books/Istanbul-Was-a-Fairy-Tale.pdf>
- <http://wind-in-herleshausen.de/?freebooks/Wound-Care-at-a-Glance.pdf>