


Simone A. James Alexander

**African
Diasporic
Women's
Narratives**

Politics of
Resistance,
Survival, and
Citizenship



African Diasporic Women's Narratives



UNIVERSITY PRESS OF FLORIDA

Florida A&M University, Tallahassee
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton
Florida Gulf Coast University, Ft. Myers
Florida International University, Miami
Florida State University, Tallahassee
New College of Florida, Sarasota
University of Central Florida, Orlando
University of Florida, Gainesville
University of North Florida, Jacksonville
University of South Florida, Tampa
University of West Florida, Pensacola

UNIVERSITY PRESS OF FLORIDA

Gainesville · Tallahassee · Tampa · Boca Raton · Pensacola

Orlando · Miami · Jacksonville · Ft. Myers · Sarasota

AFRICAN
DIASPORIC
WOMEN'S
NARRATIVES



*Politics of Resistance,
Survival, and Citizenship*

Simone A. James Alexander

Copyright 2014 by Simone A. James Alexander

All rights reserved

Printed in the United States of America on recycled, acid-free paper

This book may be available in an electronic edition.

19 18 17 16 15 14 6 5 4 3 2 1

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Alexander, Simone A. James, 1967–

African diasporic women's narratives : politics of resistance, survival,
and citizenship / Simone A. James Alexander.

pages cm

ISBN 978-0-8130-4982-3

1. American literature—African American authors—History and criticism.

2. African American women in literature. 3. Human body in literature.

4. American literature—20th century—History and criticism. I. Title.

PS153.N5A3986 2014

810.9'928708996073—dc23

2013047851



University Press of Florida

15 Northwest 15th Street

Gainesville, FL 32611-2079

<http://www.upf.com>

Contents

Acknowledgments vii

Introduction: Dis-Embodied Subjects Writing Fire 1

- 1** Captive Flesh No More: Saartjie Baartman, Quintessential Migratory Subject 20
- 2** “Crimes against the Flesh”: Politics and Poetics of the Black Female Body 39
- 3** Framing Violence: Resistance, Redemption, and Recuperative Strategies in *I, Tituba, Black Witch of Salem* 68
- 4** Mothering the Nation: Women’s Bodies as Nationalist Trope in Edwidge Danticat’s *Breath, Eyes, Memory* 96
- 5** Performing the Body: Transgressive Doubles, Fatness and Blackness 127
- 6** Bodies and DisEase: Finding AlterNative Cure, Assuming AlterNative Identity 159

Notes 193

Bibliography 211

Index 227

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgments

I have relied on the good will and generosity of relatives, friends, and colleagues during the undertaking of this project.

Thanks to Carole Boyce Davies for her unwavering encouragement and endorsement. She was one of the first persons who underscored the manifest potential in my work on Grace Nichols. Sitting in on my conference presentation in Santo Domingo (Dominican Republic) that examined Nichols's *The Fat Black Woman's Poems*, she suggested afterward that I should "do something with this piece." I did!

Special thanks to Grace Nichols who I met at the conference of the Association of Caribbean Women Writers and Scholars in Grenada in 1998 where she graciously offered me a signed copy of *The Fat Black Woman's Poems*. In an act of unprecedented coincidence, I made a return trip to Grenada in June 2013 having just completed the final revisions of chapter 5 in which this poetry collection is analyzed.

I would like to thank the University Press of Florida and its incredible staff. Special thanks to my former senior acquisitions editor, Amy Gorelick, for her initial interest in this project. I would like to thank my current project editor, Marthe Walters, and the director and acting editor-in-chief, Meredith Babb. I also thank Ale Gasso, metadata manager/sales assistant, for her diligence. Elaine Otto deserves special mention for her efficient and careful copyediting of the manuscript. I am also grateful to the anonymous readers who evaluated the manuscript and offered useful and invaluable suggestions on revising it.

As a recipient of two consecutive fellowships from the Institute for Research on Women at Rutgers University, New Brunswick, I had the good fortune of engaging and brainstorming with an intellectual community of scholars. These seminarians challenged me to read the texts in multiple ways and from multiple perspectives. The residencies provided me with

the intellectual space to work on and strengthen many of the ideas culled in chapters 2 and 4.

My dear friend and former Rutgers University librarian, Antoinette Peteet, has been a blessing, a true savior, lending her unwavering support and friendship. Expressing great interest in my project, Antoinette provided her expert assistance in locating important source materials. I have finally made it to the finish line, Antoinette! Thanks for cheering me on to the end!

I thank my dear friend and colleague, June Bobb, who has been a staunch supporter of both my academic and personal endeavors. Thanks to my girlfriend, Shondel Nero, for her continued support and for lending a listening ear whenever I needed one. My conference buddies, Renée Larrier, Brinda Mehta, and Adlai Murdoch have navigated the tides and terrains with me literally and figuratively. Your friendship and ongoing support has helped me to weather the storm. I am especially grateful to Abioseh Porter for his generosity and encouragement. A special thanks to my sister-friend, Winnifred Brown-Glaude, with whom I shared the rewards and challenges of maintaining a sensible balance between family obligations and academia. I am grateful to my childhood friend, Alana Holmes, who offered me her open arms, heart, and home (in Jamaica) whenever I required some needed rest and relaxation. I give special thanks to my girlfriends Karen King-Aribisala and Paula Walcott for their continued love, support, and friendship. Andre Nelson has been a patient and avid listener as I brainstormed some of the original ideas of this manuscript and as I tried to identify the proper placement—either chronologically or thematically—of the chapters.

I am indebted to my parents, Frank and Evadnie James, who planted the seed of desire and hope in me at a very young age. I, especially, thank my mother who stressed the relevance and rewards of hard work and determination. Mama, indeed, the “heights by great men reached and kept were not attained by sudden flight, but they, while their companions slept, were toiling upward in the night.” Whenever I entertain the thought of retreat, I am reminded of your favorite and often repeated refrain. My siblings, Gregory and Dalero James, who cloak me in their unconditional love and support, are a blessing and an inspiration. To my nephew, Gregory James Jr., and niece, Gia James, who I hope will be truly inspired by this book. Aunty loves you!

To the wonderful and inspiring young men, Jason, Amani, and Akeem, in my life, who light up my life and give me hope to carry on. Mommy loves you! Negotiating between making numerous trips to and from basketball and soccer practices and games and working on the manuscript was both rewarding and challenging. These challenges were counterbalanced by my life companion, Aubrey, who saw me through the good and bad times, who bore witness to both my frustrations and celebrations, and who stayed the course of the long, and sometimes, tumultuous ride. This one is for you! Love and respect!

This page intentionally left blank

INTRODUCTION

Dis-Embodied Subjects Writing Fire

They're treating my resistance to their diagnosis as a personal affront.
But it's my body and my life and the goddess knows I'm paying
enough for all this, I ought to have a say. I am going to write fire until it
comes out of my ears, my eyes, my noseholes—everywhere. Until it's
every breath I breathe. I'm going to go out like a fucking meteor!

—Audre Lorde, *A Burst of Light*

I am even more certain that to create dangerously is also to create
fearlessly, boldly embracing the public and private terrors that would
silence us, then bravely moving forward even when it feels as though
we are chasing or being chased by ghosts.

—Edwidge Danticat, *Create Dangerously*

A few years ago at a seminar on “Health and Bodies,” I had the good fortune of sharing my work on Audre Lorde with fellow participants. By all accounts, the seminar was successful; the seminarians offered meaningful suggestions and feedback on ways to improve the work. One woman colleague or participant asked, “Who is the intended audience, and how will this project be accepted?” She further constructed “the audience as a class for black feminist theory in which case a discussion of Lorde’s work would be appropriate.” Other participants remarked, “Since you are using black bodies to speak about all bodies, the project fits within a canon of black, feminist political activists/authors.” “If you had someone from another ethnic class, it would be quite different.” “Use different authors

so it doesn't appear as though they are coming from one community." Another concern raised was Lorde's relevance today having written her memoir so long ago.¹

Even while alive, Lorde was extremely controversial, particularly among white feminists, whom she accused of perpetuating racism.² So it should be no surprise that even after her death, her work continues to evoke such emotional responses. These responses arguably stemmed from a sense of disease with the representation, occasioned by the choice of theorists and, by default, the theoretical framework.³ Hortense Spillers remarks: "The charges leveled against Black Studies and Women's Studies, especially the former, in the initial period of their instauration—that the subject(s) were 'unresearched,' among other indictments—were blind to a material fact of discursive production—discourses do not spontaneously appear, but as writing, as an intellectual technology, they will follow the path and tide of generation" (*Black, White* x). She concludes, "An investigator will not 'find' what he or she is looking for, but will have to partially 'create' the differentiation against the stubbornness of tradition" (x). Furthermore, Lorde's personal embodied experiences did not fit, nor did she strive to fit, into the framework of the larger theoretical discourse. Rather, she unequivocally and unapologetically challenged the dominant mainstream discourse. Spillers ascertains that this perceived effortless challenge was occasioned because "the intransigent (and arbitrary) borders of the canonical were fragile to start with, predicated, as they were, on the reified properties of 'race' and 'gender'" (x).

Along these lines, one can safely argue that Lorde's success, or moreover her relevance, is manifested in her ability to "create dangerously." Concurring that "creating dangerously" is a corollary to "reading dangerously," Danticat surmises that this concept captures the essence of a writer: "Create dangerously, for people who read dangerously. This is what I've always thought it meant to be a writer" (*Create Dangerously* 10).⁴ Spillers concretizes this concept, arguing that "Toni Morrison's *Sula* is a rebel idea, both for her creator and for Morrison's audience" (*Black, White* 93). In other words, "in bringing to light dark impulses no longer contraband in black American women's cultural address, the novel inscribes a new dimension of being, moving at last in contradistinction to the tide of virtue and pathos that tends to overwhelm black female characterization in a monolith of terms and possibilities" (93).

In keeping with this line of reasoning, Lorde (and fellow authors Grace

Nichols, Maryse Condé, and Danticat herself) did not fall short of “creating dangerously.” Underscoring what she deems as Albert Camus’s most viable interpretation of “creating dangerously,” Danticat construes it as “creating as a revolt against silence, creating when both the creation and the reception, the writing and the reading, are dangerous undertakings, disobedience to a directive” (*Create Dangerously* 11). Boldly engaging the “dangerous undertakings,” these women writers authenticate their experiences even as they refute the dominant discourse. The multiple physical and allegorical border crossings that the women and by default their protagonists undertake, in great part, constitute the “dangerous undertakings.” Along similar lines, Lorde’s strategic engendering of her varied lived experiences that underscore her transnational subjectivity become the legitimizing force in establishing her brand of theory (theorizing her illness) as a viable field of scholarship. Furthermore, the skewed question about her irrelevance finds resonance in the beautifully phrased Haitian proverb “Better that we are ugly, but we are here” (*Create Dangerously* 147).⁵ Foregrounded in the phrase is conscious resistance and resilience.

In spite of having written her memoir more than three decades ago, both Lorde and her work continue to significantly impact women’s lives, serving as a blueprint for women, regardless of age, race, creed, or location, tackling both personal and political disease. Lorde’s influence is national, having inspired American feminists, lesbians, African Americans, and women of color during the 1970s and 1980s, but it also has international and transnational reach.⁶ Thus Lorde explores the transnational through her own experiences. Underscoring the dynamism of transnationalism, Mary Friedman and Silvia Schultermandl reveal its crystallization of race and racial issues, establishing that it does “not obliterate the impact of ‘race’ as a shaping force on social power structures; on the contrary, [it] put[s] it into sharper focus” (9). Significantly, race matters to Lorde; subsequently, the racial subject occupies center stage in her discourse, a fact reiterated by Margaret Kissam Morris, who writes, “When Lorde names herself by identifying her multiple subject positions, she customarily begins with race; thus, she privileges the term that has been the source of her earliest experiences with prejudice” (169). Unequivocally, Lorde brought to the forefront breast cancer awareness, publicizing the disease, but more importantly, politicizing black women’s health concerns. As a result, public awareness and public discussion of women’s health increased greatly in the United States during the late twentieth

century. Furthermore, Lorde's influence proliferated, extending beyond the United States' frontier, transcending border and race politics. Both discursively and physically, Lorde engenders a detour, a migration from mainstream gender-biased discourse as she unearths this (predominantly) female disease once shrouded in silence and secrecy.

In an attempt to articulate this book's transnational agenda, its engagement (or disengagement) with other texts/authors, the conversation that renowned black feminist critic Barbara Christian had with her daughter, Najuma, comes to mind.⁷ Najuma asked her, "Why is it that you write mostly about black women's books? You read lots of other books. Is it because you like what they say best?" Christian surmises that the question her daughter asked had been asked before: "What is a literary critic, a black woman critic, a black feminist literary critic, a black feminist social literary critic?" (*Black Feminist Criticism* x). Not only was this question asked before, but it also has been answered. Addressing the importance and the comforting presence of black women writers in her life, Mary Helen Washington confesses: "Only they know my story. It is absolutely necessary that they be permitted to discover and interpret the entire range or spectrum of the experience of black women and not be stymied by preconceived conclusions. Because of these writers, there are models of how it is possible for us to live, there are more choices for black women to make, and there is a larger space in the universe for us" (xxxii). Giving voice to the challenges, the "stymied preconceived conclusions," Spillers remarks on the racist and sexist hurdles one has to surmount in attempting to "lay down discourse on aspects of African-American life and thought that did not conform to and replicate certain deeply held convictions" (*Black, White* xii). Arguably, these obstacles surfaced at the aforementioned seminar, resulting in the disqualification of Lorde's body as text and her body of work.

Although one may write mostly about black women's books, one is undoubtedly informed by other writers. Furthermore, interrogating this notion of a preconceived, intended, monolithic, or fixed audience, Christian underscores the presumptuousness of the writer inventing "a theory of how we *ought* to read." Instead she says, "We need to read the works of our writers in our various ways and remain open to the intricacies of the intersection of language, class, race, and gender in the literature. And it would help if we share our process, that is, our practice, as much as possible, since, finally, our work *is* a collective endeavor" ("Race for Theory"

42). Along these lines, Lorde's theorization is both far-reaching and all-encompassing, a fact that Alexis De Veaux underscores by ascertaining that Lorde's works were "firsts in . . . her literary career [in that they] established multiple dimensions of new terrain" (314).⁸ "These multiple dimensions" lend themselves to Lorde's nonconformist stance, her embrace of multiple (flexible) identities. Even as Lorde discursively explores the manifold "dimensions of new terrains," her own body functioned as a terrain that disrupts and decenters the healthy, heterosexual body.

Aware that she is attempting to do something dangerous by normalizing the deviant body while simultaneously appropriating the literary canon, Lorde recuperates the female body from the male-dominated literary genres.⁹ Similar to her embodied resistance to medical diagnosis, Lorde debunks the "discursive diagnosis" of a monolithic reader or writer. In keeping with this idea, she enacts Christian's statement that "theory is prescriptive [and] it ought to have some relationship to practice" ("Race for Theory" 42). Further illuminating the imperative of codependency between theory and practice, Christian remarks: "My fear is that when Theory is not rooted in practice, it becomes prescriptive, exclusive, elitist" (46). Consequently, she cautions that by succumbing to silence we run the risk of having our identities subsumed, allowing "Those who control the society [to] continue their cultural hegemony" (*Black Feminist Criticism* xiii).

Refusing to be silenced, Lorde, Danticat, Condé, and Nichols's body of work departs from "the western form of abstract logic," engaging instead "narrative forms, in the stories [they] create, in riddles and proverbs, in the play with language, since dynamic rather than fixed ideas seem more to [their] liking" (Christian, "Race for Theory" 41). This departure from fixity correlates with the fluidity of identity. Expounding on Christian's argument, Barbara Smith points out that "The use of Black women's language and cultural experience in books by Black women about Black women results in a miraculously rich coalescing of form and content and also takes their writing far beyond the confines of white/male literary structures" (7). Additionally, these women writers, advocates of transnational feminism, underscore the inextricability of the intersectional categories of sexual and racial politics in black women's writing. Specifically, Christian cautions about superimposing one's social history onto others without taking into consideration issues of race, class, and gender. Taking this argument a step further, Lorde stresses: "It is a particular academic

arrogance to assume any discussion of feminist theory without examining our many differences, and without a significant input from poor women, Black and Third World women, and lesbians” (“Master’s Tools” 110). Essentially, Lorde advocates for a transnational feminist agenda that challenges stereotypes and cultural imperialism.

Anthropologist Ifi Amadiume cautions: “One of the dangers of having our feet stuck in western-produced literature is the tendency to use European terms and expressions uncritically when addressing non-European cultures and experiences. The history of European imperialism and racism means that the language which aided that project is loaded with generalized terms which . . . serve a particularistic interest” (*Reinventing Africa* 1). Similar sentiments are echoed in the title of Lorde’s insightful essay “The Master’s Tools Will Never Dismantle the Master’s House.” Subsequently, she cautions that there is little or no hope for change when “the tools of a racist patriarchy are used to examine the fruits of that same patriarchy” (110–11). Operating within this feminist transnational continuum, this book problematizes black women’s writings, ascertaining that it is not confining or should not be confined to a specific era, genre, or audience. Hence one can safely argue that the women writers discussed in this project refuse to engage mimicry against which Amadiume cautions. In giving voice to their experiences, they have in turn given voice to the experiences of countless other black women across the globe; they have given women the courage and language to reject and resist being silenced, to look at their “own antecedents for the sophisticated arguments upon which [they] can build in order to change the tendency of any established western idea to become hegemonic” (Christian, “Race for Theory” 43–44).¹⁰

Whereas this book employs western (feminist) theory, it does not do so uncritically or at the expense of black and Third World women’s histories. Rather, it engages a transnational feminist agenda that debunks existing stereotypes and cultural hegemony. Underscoring the importance of avoiding these pitfalls, Inderpal Grewal and Caren Kaplan, quoting Fred Pfeil, address this oversight in feminist theories: “[E]ven among savvy and committed feminists, ahistorical relativism is in danger of replacing historical specificity as well as feminist solidarity. Feminist movements must be open to rethinking and self-reflexivity as an ongoing process if we are to avoid creating new orthodoxies that are exclusionary and reifying” (18). While this book is critical of white patriarchal structures, it reserves equal

criticism and condemnation for patriarchal structures within black communities that espouse female oppression. These oversights have affected not only dialogue between black and white women but also representation. To counter these shortcomings, Grewal and Kaplan suggest “map[ping] these scattered hegemonies and link[ing] diverse local practices to formulate a transnational set of solidarities” (19). These “solidarities” facilitate diasporic consciousness and inclusion, engaging black women and their writings. Aware of the high cost of dismissing black women’s realities, renowned feminist Adrienne Rich in conversation with Lorde candidly acknowledges: “What I can’t afford is either to wipe out your perceptions or to pretend I understand you when I don’t. And then, if it’s a question of racism—and I don’t mean just the overt violence out there but also all the differences in *our ways of seeing*—there’s always the question: How do I use this? What do I do about it?” (Lorde, “Master’s Tools” 106, italics mine). Rich’s response directly addresses Barbara Smith’s concern that “when white women look at Black women’s works they are of course ill-equipped to deal with the subtleties of racial politics” (3). Rich has not only responded to Barbara Smith’s challenge “to see in print white women’s acknowledgement of who and what are being left out of their research and writing,” (5) but she has also questioned “whether [white women’s] perspective on women’s reality is true to the lived experiences of women as a collective group” (hooks, *Feminist Theory* 3). Furthermore, her questioning is indicative of awareness, the above-mentioned oversight “of the extent to which [white women’s] perspectives reflect race and class biases” (3).

Breaking away from the “academic practice of relying on disciplinary theories and conceptual debates originating in and dominated by the West” (Oyèwùmí, *Invention* ix), this work journeys away from established canonical structures and mainstream masculinist narratives, underscoring the importance of including the total sum—race, class, language, ethnicity—of the female subject. In other words, this work is informed by the theoretical reasoning of feminist scholars Amy Schulz and Leith Mullings, who insist on a holist approach in examining women’s lives. Strong proponents of “intersectionality theory,” Schulz and Mullings ascertain that “intersectionality theory was developed most prominently by Black feminist social scientists, emphasizing the simultaneous production of race, class, and gender inequality, such that in any given situation, the unique contribution of one factor might be difficult to measure” (5). Thus the mutual

interdependence of race, class, and gender is pivotal in the articulation of women's experiences. As such, "The issue of who counts as a feminist is much less important than creating coalitions based on the practices that different women use in various locations to counter scattered hegemonies that affect their lives" (Grewal and Kaplan 18). The women writers here-with (Lorde explicitly) reveal the limits of a feminist discourse that does not take into account specific locations that construct the cultures that women negotiate in their daily lives. Amadiume's question about who should write a people's social history—"Is it others who should be telling Africans what they are?" (*Reinventing Africa* 5)—is adequately and articulately answered by Lorde: "It's my body and my life. I'm paying enough for all of this and I ought to have a say" (*Burst of Light* 76). Having their say, "writing and breathing fire," in other words "creating dangerously," black women give voice to their embodied experiences that not only destabilize and disengage the monolithic language of imperialism and racism but also fiercely interrogate Eurocentrism, thus dismantling the master's house. The coinage "writing fire" that echoes the cliché "playing with fire" engenders both danger and resistance.

Making a concerted effort not to "have [my] feet stuck in Western-produced literature," this book engages the theories of migration and diaspora, namely, migration flows and transnational movements within a gendered framework. In quoting Puerto Rican cultural critic Juan Flores, Duany writes: "The concept of diaspora evokes a wide range of connotations, including movement, travel, displacement, dislocation, uprooting, resettlement, hybridity, and nomadism" (2). This proliferation of definitions parallels the multiple transnational exchanges, a fact that is underscored by Duany, who observes that diaspora "overlaps substantially with current definitions of transnationalism" (3). Mindful of these overlaps, this book proposes that transnational ties occasion the birth of diasporas, blurring the congruence of geographic space and social space. While diaspora is imagined as a concrete locale in terms of destination, host countries, and home countries, it is also an imaginative space evoked through "collective memories, myths, and rituals" (3). In other words, it overlaps with Benedict Anderson's "imagined community." As Anderson puts it, a nation "is imagined because the members of the smallest nation will never know most of their fellow members, meet them, or even hear of them, yet in the minds of each lives the image of their communion" (15). This definition resonates powerfully in black diasporic communities

where the “concept of the neighborhood,” to echo Toni Morrison, takes on added significance. As Morrison intimates in her theorization of “the neighborhood” that strongly resonates with the current discourse of diaspora, an unspoken kinship exists among “folks,” whose affection “for the city seems to be for the village within it: the neighborhoods and the population of those neighborhoods” (“City Limits” 37).¹¹ This implicit affinity alludes to a transnational sensibility among the residents of those neighborhoods who express “an urgent connection with and celebration of racial past” (38). Stuart Hall echoes similar sentiments whereby he defines this shared space or identity “as a collective, shared history among individuals affiliated by race or ethnicity” (233). In the same breath, Hall ascertains that “identity as a ‘production’ . . . is never complete, always in process, and always constituted within, not outside, representation” (234).

Furthermore, Vèvè Clark’s theory on “diaspora literacy” crystallizes my claim to diasporic consciousness that resides in the imaginary, in the social and geographic spaces. According to Clark, “Diaspora literacy is the ability to read and comprehend the discourses of Africa, Afro-America, and the Caribbean from an informed, indigenous perspective. This type of literacy is more than an intellectual exercise. It is a skill for both narrator and reader that demands a knowledge of historical, social, cultural, and political development generated by lived and textual experience” (“Diaspora Literacy” 382). This ability to comprehend and interpret multilayered histories and folk stories beyond the reach of western ideology or “western or westernized specification,” as Clark puts it, is celebrated by the “diasporically literate” (382). Indigeneity then is key in the materialization of these diasporic communities.

In like manner, Morrison’s “neighborhood” resonates with current debates on citizenship. Detailing the varied conceptualizations of citizenship in the narrative by black artists as compared to mainstream (white) artists, Morrison calls attention to the sense of unbelonging experienced by black citizens, articulated by James Baldwin. Baldwin deliberates the critical question of “how a dispossessed people, a disenfranchised people, a people without orthodox power, views the cities it inhabits but does not have a claim to” (“City Limits” 35).¹² This sense of unbelonging crystallizes for women who are constructed as pawns in the national discourse and not as key players; as a result, their migrant status, their peripheral (fluid) existence becomes fodder for excluding them from the ranks of citizenship.

Addressing the exclusionary practices inherent in the national discourse of citizenship, this book promotes collective consciousness even as it argues in favor of flexible identity. In essence, the “mandates of individualism” do not dictate one’s identity (Morrison, “City Limits” 36). These “imagined communities” therefore give rise to transnational alliances, diasporic kinship, and sensibility as Anderson calls attention to the “finite, elastic boundaries” of nation (15). In turn, this elasticity engenders female mobility, or “fluid migration,” to quote Meredith Gadsby, and the attendant flexible definitions of home, identity, and citizenship.

Migration theorists share different views on the “feminization of migration.” Some argue that despite the recent pervasive use of the term, the migratory flow of women is not novel, and as such the term is misleading, “insofar that it suggests an absolute increase in the proportion of women migrants, when in fact by 1960 women made up nearly 47% of all international migrants, a percentage that increased by only two points during the next four decades.”¹³ Nevertheless, underscoring how critical it is in understanding gender in the context of migration, Monica Boyd and Elizabeth Grieco remind us: “Traditional theory fails to help us to understand the circumstances that encourage women to become transnational migrants.”¹⁴ These circumstances, in other words, the politics and economics of migratory movements, are wide-ranging and include quest for employment, escape from abusive relationships, and better educational opportunities for their children. Calling added attention to women’s calculated erasure from the discourse of migration, Boyd and Grieco are quick to point out that in the “1960s and 1970s the phrase ‘migrants and their families’ was a code for male migrants and their wives and children.” This oversight therefore accounts for the “near invisibility of women as migrants, their presumed passivity in the migration process, and their assumed place in the home.”

What has unequivocally changed is women’s role in migratory processes. Whereas they once accompanied their spouses or partners, they now travel as independent subjects in search of jobs and better living conditions. However, as autonomous subjects, many women find themselves at the mercy of host countries that reinforce and reproduce “pre-existing gender patterns that oppress women.”¹⁵ These oppressive patterns result in the formation of a diaspora within a diaspora, or a “country within a country,” to borrow Danticat’s coinage. Addressing the configuration of Haitians as the abject “other,” Danticat pontificates that their precarious

subject position serves as fodder for the denial of citizenship.¹⁶ In furthering her critique, Danticat, referencing Hurricane Katrina, says that the United States tries to erase its “poor,” thereby intimating that such atrocities do not happen here, but they do in other countries, primarily in Haiti. This denial creates a “country within a country.” Women in particular are victims, doubly affected and defined by their subjugated positions within the nation-state.

In this study’s engagement with cultural studies and critical race theory, Stuart Hall’s theorization of migration and diaspora informs the ways in which identity is constructed in relationship to history, race, and culture. In its pathologization of the black female subject (body), the hegemonic discourse “pens” women as diseased, passive, and docile, an assumption derived from the continued, controversial relation between biomedical science and race. By the same token, women are hypersexualized and racialized, constructed as sexual deviants.¹⁷ This trend leads to the black female subject being denied ownership of her body; meanwhile, her worth is defined by the profits that can be had from her body, even as the body’s worthlessness, its vulgarity, is underscored. Hall’s poignant articulation that “the place of the Other fixes us, not only in its violence, hostility, and aggression, but in the ambivalence of its desire” rings true (234). Interrogating this “othering” of the subject in western discourse, Carole Boyce Davies initiates a counter discourse: “Boyce Davies’s work introduces a new way of imagining migrations by situating one’s own family history as point of departure for theoretical work instead of those dominant discourses (such as postcoloniality, postmodernism, masculinist Western theorizations of ‘third world’ peoples, and deconstruction)” (Gadsby 16). Thus Boyce Davies’s theorization of migration through lived (bodily) experiences informs this study. Furthermore, this study frames migratory experiences that take place both in the real and the imaginary and that are physical as well as allegorical.

Defying the monolithic national discourse and by default the national body, *African Diasporic Women’s Narratives: Politics of Resistance, Survival, and Citizenship* fiercely interrogates sexual deviance embodied in the diseased, disabled, and hypersexualized body. This cross-examination destabilizes gender, sexuality, and racial parameters, postulating that women’s bodies not only engage subversive theory but also challenge hierarchical constructs and disrupt normative standards. In other words, they engender a politics of resistance, a detour from normative (western)

categorizations and ideologies, a migration from and challenge of single, fixed (heteronormative, heterosexual) definitions of self.

Operating within this theoretical framework of reference, this book seeks to answer several questions: How does female bodily presence complicate and/or challenge the once “male constructed” migratory process? How is the framework of migratory experiences redefined and reappropriated by female travels? Along these lines, how do women’s experiences of migration differ from men’s, psychologically and somatically? How do these migrating bodies experience the new diasporas they inhabit? In other words, how do these women use their much oppressed bodies to reconfigure the discourse on migration, diaspora, citizenship, and identity? How do transnational exchanges engender resistance, even as they expand the definition of diaspora via diasporic encounters and relations? How does the circulation of black female identities and sexualities discursively engender a parallel “migration” that disrupts and debunks hierarchical structures? Further, how do these women employ their “migrating” bodies as sites of resistance to male hegemony? How do bodies mobilize and destabilize the meaning of race, class, and gender?

Evaluating the migration of the subject, Hall remarks that “the displacements of slavery, colonization, and conquest . . . stand for the endless ways in which Caribbean people have been destined to ‘migrate’; it is the signifier of migration itself—of travelling, voyaging, and return as fate, as destiny” (243). In the above articulation, Hall reveals the politics of displacement that accompanies migration. At the same time, he captures the complexity of both voluntary and involuntary migration that defines the ensuing discussion. Borrowing Hall’s phrase “the signifier of migration,” this book ascertains that the body as signifier functions discursively as text. All the female characters in the texts either discursively or literally write the body as political resistance.

African Diasporic Women’s Narratives is an in-depth study of selective texts of four migrant women writers: Audre Lorde, Edwidge Danticat, Maryse Condé, and Grace Nichols.¹⁸ This grouping of diaspora writers presents a literary cocktail of sorts, providing a comparative and analytical study of fiction, poetry, autobiographical writing, memoir, and travel narratives from the Francophone and Anglophone worlds.¹⁹

This study is divided into six chapters. Chapter 1, “Captive Flesh No More: Saartjie Baartman, Quintessential Migratory Subject,” chronicles Baartman’s journey as captive flesh to free subject, ascertaining that her

story is one of recovery and recuperation that culminated in her posthumous attainment of citizenship. Citizenship for black South Africans was a tenuous subject, due in great part to the country's racist apartheid politics. This racial division manifested in black South Africans forming that "country within the country." Furthermore, the unmitigated and uninhibited "theft" of Baartman was the direct result of her noncitizen status, for the most part determined by her race, class, and gender. As a Khoisan woman, Baartman's body fell significantly short of the set standards for the national (read white) body. Consequently, this presumed anomaly resulted in her being placed on the axis of deviance, wherein she was constructed as subhuman and exploited to deny her citizenship. Baartman's "healthy" body is therefore pathologized (analogous to Lorde's ill body seeking health on her own terms) as she becomes the object of the white patriarchal gaze. Furthermore, Baartman's rise from humble "servant" to esteemed stateswoman witnessed not only the debunking of established hierarchical structures but also the insertion of the woman in the national discourse. Consequently, Baartman's being granted citizenship provides a discursive and political space, an invaluable framework for women not only to articulate resistance but also to ascertain their role in nation-building. Woman becomes "nation and narration," to borrow Homi Bhabha's famous phrase, as the nation is (subversively) reimagined through Baartman's body, constituting a migration from traditional masculinist discourses that imagine a "distinctly gendered nation through the representation of the female body" (Grewal and Kaplan 22).²⁰ Appropriating Toni Morrison's powerful coinage about self-affirmation, the chapter concludes that the "deeply loved flesh" is antidotal to the captive flesh.

Similar to Baartman, Lorde defies the nation's configuration of propriety, normalcy, and legitimate sexuality. As Faith Smith succinctly articulates: "Notions of sexuality are deeply inflicted by colonial and imperial inheritances that have framed nationalism's discourses and silences" (2). Attending to these silences, chapter 2, "'Crimes against the Flesh': Politics and Poetics of the Black Female Body," politicizes the female body using Lorde's personal, embodied experiences with breast cancer to articulate that the erasure of the female body constitutes "high crimes against the flesh." The assault on Lorde's flesh is twofold: first, the state's (the Cancer Society) requirement that she normalize her diseased body by donning prosthetic breasts after her mastectomy, and second, the obliteration of her lesbian identity, positing compulsory heterosexuality as the norm.

sample content of African Diasporic Women's Narratives: Politics of Resistance, Survival, and Citizenship

- [Way Down On The High Lonely for free](#)
- [download online Ancient Enemies pdf, azw \(kindle\), epub](#)
- [read online **The Sage of Waterloo: A Tale pdf**](#)
- [Philosophical Troubles: Collected Papers, Volume 1 pdf, azw \(kindle\), epub, doc, mobi](#)
- [read Fever Quest \(Isabella Rockwell, Book 2\)](#)

- <http://pittiger.com/lib/Eighteenth-Century-Aesthetics-and-the-Reconstruction-of-Art.pdf>
- <http://transtrade.cz/?ebooks/Enjoying-Where-You-Are-on-the-Way-to-Where-You-Are-Going--Learning-How-to-Live-a-Joyful-Spirit-Led-Life.pdf>
- <http://ramazotti.ru/library/Los-informantes.pdf>
- <http://aseasonedman.com/ebooks/The-Art-of-SQL.pdf>
- <http://weddingcellist.com/lib/Fever-Quest--Isabella-Rockwell--Book-2-.pdf>